

Case Number 122-2**PETITION FOR FULL COURT HEARING**

YOUR CASE WILL BE HEARD WITHIN TEN (10) SCHOOL DAYS FROM THE DATE OF PROPER FILING WITH THE SGA CLERK OF COURT. YOU WILL BE NOTIFIED AS TO DATE, TIME AND LOCATION OF THE COURT HEARING.

Plaintiff: Aaron J. JordanPlaintiff's Phone Number: 504-491-0253Plaintiff's Student ID Number: 2212794Defendant: UNOSGA Senator Oscar Martinez**COMPLAINT** (include all important information, i.e. facts, dates, times, witnesses, etc.):

~~The Plaintiff brings this complaint seeking a Request for Judicial Review for impeachment regarding the conduct of SGA Senator Oscar Martinez, pursuant to Section 7.5.2 of the SGA Constitution. On August 31, 2022, the Plaintiff was placed on the Agenda of the SGA Senate for consideration to be appointed to an open Senate seat {Exhibit A}. On August 31, 2022, after the Plaintiff gave a 3 minute speech, numerous SGA Senators had questions to ask the Plaintiff, as his speech had apparently generated much interest and controversy. The SGA Senate then voted to extend the questioning period for an additional 3 minutes. The very first question that was asked of the Plaintiff was by SGA Senator Oscar Martinez, which appears on page 49 of the Meeting's Minutes {Exhibit B}. Senator Martinez asked the Plaintiff if he had ever been a part of any groups, on or off campus, such as SWISE. The Plaintiff answered Senator Martinez's question honestly, to the best of his knowledge, and said that he had not been a member of any on-campus groups but had volunteered in the past for an off-campus group, which appears on page 50 of the Meeting's Minutes {Exhibit C}. Senator Martinez had no follow-up question or comment for the Plaintiff and seemed satisfied with the Plaintiff's answer. The Plaintiff then moved on to answering another question from Senator Daniel Hunsaker. After 2 rounds of 3 minutes of questioning, Senator Martinez had no follow-up question or comment for the Plaintiff. The Plaintiff then left the room so that the SGA Senate could consider and discuss his appointment. On page 53 of the Meeting's Minutes {Exhibit D}, immediately after the Vice President for Legislative Affairs Azizah Hinnawi asked if anyone rises in support of the Plaintiff's appointment, Senator Martinez asked to go into an Executive Session. Senator Martinez stated during the Executive Session, which appears on page 54 of the Meeting's Minutes {Exhibit E}, that the Plaintiff had "lied" or "omitted the truth" about belonging to an off-campus group, which Senator Martinez contends, "has not been painted in the best light."~~

PETITION FOR FULL COURT HEARING

SGA Supreme Court Complaint Form C-001

Case Number 122-2

Specific Violation(s) Alleged (Be sure to include any and all applicable SGA Constitutional provisions, SGA Code(s) of Law(s) and SGA Senate Rules and Procedures. Be sure to note the Article, Section, and Subsections to which you are referring.):

~~The Plaintiff submits to this Court that SGA Senator Oscar Martinez violated the SGA Constitution, Section 7.1, Subsection 7.1.2, which states, "Dereliction of duty, the persistent poor performance of their duty, or the malicious abuse of their authority." The Plaintiff submits to this Court that Senator Martinez engaged in a malicious abuse of his authority as a Senator by calling for an Executive Session so that he could make derogatory remarks about the Plaintiff and purposely misconstrue, mischaracterize, and misstate the Plaintiff's answer to falsely accuse the Plaintiff of lying to the SGA Senate, when in fact he had not. These actions on the part of Senator Martinez also violated the SGA Rules and Procedures, Section 3.12, Subsection 3.12.3.2, which states that senators are prohibited from, "Making derogatory remarks about an individual," and Subsection 3.12.3.3, which states that senators are prohibited from, "Knowingly misrepresenting any individual's intentions to the Senate or committee." It is clear that Senator Martinez abused his authority, made unwarranted derogatory remarks about the Plaintiff, and intentionally misrepresented the Plaintiff's answer to the SGA Senate. For example, Senator Martinez's question to the Plaintiff involved both on campus and off-campus groups that the Plaintiff had belonged to. The Plaintiff truthfully answered that he had not been a member of any on campus groups. The Plaintiff answered about one off-campus group that he had volunteered for. However, it would have been impossible for the Plaintiff to list each and every off-campus group he had belonged to over his entire lifetime. For instance, when the Plaintiff was in high school, he was a member of the Air Force ROTC. Did Senator Martinez expect the Plaintiff to include his high school years in his answer in order to be deemed truthful by Senator Martinez? When the Plaintiff was in middle school, he had attended a Bible study group in order to complete his Confirmation. Did Senator Martinez expect the Plaintiff to include his middle school years in his answer in order to be deemed truthful by Senator Martinez? Did Senator Martinez only want to know about groups the Plaintiff had belonged to since enrolling at UNO? No, Senator Martinez, instead, had an agenda and falsely misrepresented to the SGA Senate that the Plaintiff had "lied" or "omitted the truth" and offered absolutely no proof to substantiate his false accusation against the Plaintiff. The Plaintiff submits to the Court that he has never been a part of or a member of any group in which he is now ashamed of belonging to or shies away from admitting to.~~

PETITION FOR FULL COURT HEARING

SGA Supreme Court Complaint Form C-001

Case Number 122-2

Remedies Sought By Plaintiff (Please state here what you would like the Court to rule.):

~~The Plaintiff petitions this Court to find that SGA Senator Oscar Martinez violated the cited Sections and Subsections of the SGA Constitution and the SGA Rules and Procedures on August 31, 2022. The Plaintiff further petitions this Court to grant an impeachment hearing of SGA Senator Oscar Martinez to proceed in the SGA Senate, pursuant to Section 7.5.2 of the SGA Constitution.~~

AFFIRMATION

I, Aaron J. Jordan, do hereby swear that the information contained above is true and correct to the best of my knowledge.

Signature of Plaintiff: Aaron J. Jordan 

Date Signed: September 18, 2022

YOU MUST PRESENT TEN (10) COPIES OF THIS FORM TO THE SGA CLERK OF COURT AT THE TIME OF FILING.

For SGA Clerk of Court Use Only:

Date Compliant Received: 9-19-2022

Date Notice of Complaint served upon Defendant: 9-20-2022

Method of Service: via email 

PETITION FOR FULL COURT HEARING

Supreme Court Signatures

Granted/Date:

 Sep 30, 2022
Dwayne Crenshaw (Sep 30, 2022 21:39 CDT)

 Sep 30, 2022
Damaris Clair (Sep 30, 2022 23:02 CDT)

 Sep 30, 2022
A'ishah Abdalah (Sep 30, 2022 21:28 CDT)

SGA Supreme Court

Denied/Date:

 Sep 30, 2022
Selina Rollins (Sep 30, 2022 22:01 CDT)

SGA Supreme Court

For SGA Clerk of Court Use Only:

Date Petition of Hearing Received: September 29, 2022

Date Court Received: Sep 30, 2022

Method of Service: Via email